



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/964,097	09/26/2001	Craig Andrew Bayliss	JP920000296US1	3011		
23550	7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER			
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			PESIN, BORIS M			
3 E-COMM S ALBANY, N	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALDANI, N	1 12207		2174			
				DATE MAIL ED: 00/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s) Ø Ø Ø BAYLISS ET AL.				[W/0
## Examiner Borts Pesin 2174 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address **Period for Repty** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Biserison of time may be available unrefir the received of 37 CPR 1.135(b). In no event, however, may a regly be enery filed. **If the period for regly is appelled above, the manarism standory period will apply and will expert SN (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided the communication is been the three legical date of this communication, even if threely filed, may reduce time. ## If the period for regly is appelled above, the manarism standory period will apply and will expert SN (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided the communication is proved to the Chical Intelligence of the Chical Intelligence in State that the resulting date of this communication, even if threely filed, may reduce time? ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication filed filed on *Q2 June 2004*. ### Responsive to communication filed file		Application No.	Applicant(s)	07
Botis Posis 2174		09/964,097	BAYLISS ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of team rays be available under the provisors of 3°CR1.135(a). In no event, however, may a raphy be smely field If the petiod for reply specified shows the lines them they (50) days, a toply within the abundancy maintain of theily, (30) days will be considered trial. If the petiod for reply specified shows the inner them they (50) days, a toply within the abundancy maintain of theily, (30) days will be considered trial. If the petiod for reply specified shows the inner them there (50) days, a toply within the abundancy maintain of theily, (30) days will be considered trial. If the petiod for reply specified shows the inner them there (50) days, a toply within the abundancy maintain of theily, (30) days will be considered of this communication. Failure to septy within the sold or call them there (30) days and the communication of the property of the communication of the communication of the communication. Failure to septy within the sold or call them the replication is one-final. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q2 June 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1-19 is/are: a) soccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) Th	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Basel Storm (MONTHS) from the making date of this communication in the process of the				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Established in the meryly be briefled and the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(s), in no event, horever, may a reply be limitely filed Established for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the saludatory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limity. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the saludatory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply within the saludatory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply within the saludatory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply within the saludatory minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply within the saludatory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limity. Fallow to reply within the set or estended period for reply with, by saludate cause the application is become ABANDONED (50 SLS 6° 313). Saludation 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2004. 2a) With action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)		pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Toristsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-848) 3) Information blockeurs Estatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) 5) Notice of Informati Petent Application (PTO-152)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, however, may a reploy within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commit on the mailing date of this commit on the commit of the committee of the commit of the	unication.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status			
4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter		erits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	age
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
S. Potent and Trado	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s) 8) 5) Notice of Inf	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment A, filed 2/2/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-29 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 10, and 20 are independent claims. In the Amendment A, Claims 1-29 were amended. This action is made Final.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 10-15, 17, 18, 20-25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stephens, Jr. (US 6636853).

In regards to claim 1, Stephens teaches a method of presenting a control on a computer user interface comprising: retrieving stored information on request by a user (i.e. "Data sources 312 through 322 include program instructions that interface with one or more search engines that retrieve information responsive to the user's query from computer systems associated with data sources 312 through 322." Column 6 Line 64 – Column 7 Line 1); determining the nature of the stored information (i.e. "In another feature of the present invention, when the user moves a pointer, such as a mouse

Art Unit: 2174

cursor or a light pen, over a block, a readout, for example the range of dates in window 536 as shown in FIG. 5d appears indicating the range of values represented by the block." Column 8, Line 56); selecting a control based on whether the nature of the stored information meets a threshold ser for the nature (i.e. "The clustering algorithm determines each group based on one or more categories of information, such as a combination of "size and date", and "same author and price". The clustering algorithm also separates each group of documents into a set of sub-groups of documents having similar attributes." Column 8, Line 30); and creating the control on the interface including the information (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

In regards to claim 2, Stephens teaches a method wherein the control is selected from two or more control types-according to the nature of the information (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which

Art Unit: 2174

information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31). There can be many different sizes of blocks in which the data is presented, the system has to figure out what size the blocks should be based on many different variables.

In regards to claim 3, Stephens teaches a method wherein each control is suited for use with information of a particular nature. (i.e. "The clustering algorithm may be performed by either client program instructions 302 or server program instructions 304. For example, assume 200 or more documents were found during a search. The clustering algorithm determines each group based on one or more categories of information, such as a combination of "size and date", and "same author and price". The clustering algorithm also separates each group of documents into a set of subgroups of documents having similar attributes." Column 8, Line 27 – 35).

In regards to claim 4, Stephens teaches a method wherein the information comprises a plurality of records and the nature of the information relates to the number of records (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

Art Unit: 2174

In regards to claim 5, Stephens teaches a method wherein the control is selected according to the number of records comprising the information. (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes.

Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31). There can be many different sizes of blocks (i.e. controls) in which the data is presented, the system has to figure out what size the blocks should be based on many different variables.

In regards to claim 6, Stephens teaches a method a method wherein the selected control is suited for displaying the number of records comprising the information. (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

In regards to claim 7, Stephens teaches a method wherein the control is selected according to a threshold which relates to a quantity of records (i.e. "The width of the

Art Unit: 2174

blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes. Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31). The block size is chosen according to a threshold, or, a number of records within the set of results.

In regards to claim 8, Stephens teaches a method wherein the selected control is suited to displaying the number of records comprising the information (i.e. "The width of the blocks in each stratum represents the relative number of records in the cluster represented by a block. Thus, the wider a block is, the more records it includes.

Additionally the height of a block indicates the relative number of records contained in that block's stratum. These height and width indicators provide another visual cue of the distribution of the documents according to the various categories for which information is available, and allows the user to visually determine which cluster is likely to contain relevant information." Column 9, Line 21-31).

Claim 10 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 11 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 12 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 13 is in the same context as claim 4; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 14 is in the same context as claim 5; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 15 is in the same context as claim 6; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is in the same context as claim 7; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 18 is in the same context as claim 8; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 20 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 21 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 22 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 23 is in the same context as claim 4; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 24 is in the same context as claim 5; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 25 is in the same context as claim 6; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 27 is in the same context as claim 7; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 28 is in the same context as claim 8; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9, 16, 19, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens, Jr. (US 6636853) in view of Brandli et al. (US 5701469).

In regards to claim 9, Stephens teaches all the limitations of claim 8. He does not teach a method wherein the control is a combination box or a list box. Brandli teaches, "As shown in FIG. 2, the search result list box 202 currently contains the

Art Unit: 2174

names of the files that contain the text string specified as the search criteria in edit field 203 after the user has pressed the "Find Now" button 204." (Column 5, Line 60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stephens with the teachings of Brandli and include a list box to display the retrieved information with the motivation to provide the user with more results on the screen.

Claims 16, 19, 26, and 29 are in same context as claim 9; therefore they are rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

The Examiner appreciates the Applicant pointing out that claim 16 has been rejected twice. The Applicant was correct in his assumption that it was mistakenly numbered 16 when it was supposed to be 26.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/02/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argued that Stephens does not disclose selecting a control based on whether the nature of the stored information meets a threshold set for the nature, and that Stephens does not do anything regarding the nature of the information. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. Stephens does in fact teach selecting a control based on whether the nature of the stored information meets a threshold set for the nature (i.e. "The clustering algorithm determines each group based on one or more

Art Unit: 2174

categories of information, such as a combination of "size and date", and "same author and price". The clustering algorithm also separates each group of documents into a set of sub-groups of documents having similar attributes." Column 8, Line 30). By using the clustering algorithm, Stephens does in fact select a control based on different threshold (i.e. "size and date", and "same author and price").

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (703) 305-8774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mustine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100